Ibrahim Dakkak Remembered (1929–2016)

Ibrahim Dakkak, the well-known Jerusalem activist, architect and political leader, passed away in early June after a rich life of struggle for Palestinian rights. He was an influential defender of al-Haram al-Sharif, where he worked as chief architect in charge of restoration of the holy site, and also served as the head of the advisory board of *Jerusalem Quarterly*.

Ibrahim Dakkak was born in Jerusalem in 1929 and studied at Robert College in Istanbul to become a civil engineer. He was a prominent leader of the Palestinian national movement in the occupied territories after 1967, cofounding the Palestine National Front in August 1973. The Palestine National Front mobilized civil resistance in the occupied territories against land confiscation and a spectrum of rights violations and mounted a successful campaign against the Israeli-sponsored municipal elections of that year, designed to legitimize the Israeli annexation of Jerusalem. Dakkak also served as secretary of the National Guidance Committee, which was launched in the wake of the 1978 Camp David agreements between Israel and Egypt and included prominent mayors and others representing a spectrum of the Palestinian national movement. Despite Israeli repression of both organizations – one example being the deportation of mayors in 1980 – they and their guiding framework of civil resistance were crucial to the mass mobilization of the Palestinian intifada that erupted in December 1988.

Dakkak was an institution builder, literally and figuratively. He supervised the construction of Tira College for Girls in the city of Ramallah and other building projects. He was placed in charge of reconstruction of the al-Aqsa Mosque after it was attacked by an arsonist in 1969.
Dakkak also contributed to the formation of a number of leading Palestinian organizations such as the Council for Higher Education and the Arab Thought Forum, which he chaired from 1978 to 1992. He headed the Engineers Association in the West Bank for nineteen years, from 1978 until 1986. He then worked to launch the Palestinian National Initiative with Mustafa Barghouti and Haydar ‘Abd al-Shafi.1

To commemorate and appreciate Ibrahim Dakkak, we are reprinting – with slight edits – an interview Dakkak gave sixteen years ago to then Jerusalem Quarterly managing editor Laura Fragiacomo.2 In this JQ interview, Dakkak shared his childhood memories of Jerusalem and spoke of his duties as a protector of the Old City’s heritage. His call for the protection of al-Haram al-Sharif as a “jewel of our human heritage,” remains as pressing today as it did then.

**Ibrahim Dakkak Remembers**

“Al-Haram al-Sharif remains unchanged to me,” he says. As a secular Muslim Jerusalemite, Ibrahim Dakkak’s attachment to the Haram and its surrounding areas is not of a religious nature. Instead, he relates to the city more as a living habitat. Dakkak remembers how Jerusalem was before 1948 – before West Jerusalem became fully “Judaicized” and its Arab character largely erased. He has seen even the Old City change in many ways: before, the markets catered to local residents, whereas now they serve mostly the tourists and non-residents. In Dakkak’s childhood, al-Haram al-Sharif, at the heart of the Old City, was the center around which most of his life revolved and it has remained a spot that, despite the flux occurring around it, never changed.
The Haram played a pivotal role in his childhood; most of his free time and school hours were spent playing with his peers in what he remembers as the tranquil environs of the Haram. His schools were located near it, and during lunch, he would eat his sandwiches in the compound. On Friday, Dakkak would attend the main prayers with his father in the Haram, which would then be transformed, particularly during the summertime, from a holy place of prayer into a community-gathering place. “We’d linger on for hours after completing the prayers, catching up with friends and neighbors, and finding out the latest news.”

Dakkak’s elementary school years were spent initially in the Islamic School, which now serves as a school for disabled children. Later, he spent four years at the ‘Umariyya School, near the First Station of the Cross: “Back then, it was called Rawdat al-Ma’arif al-Wataniyya [Kindergarten for National Education].” At the time, his school was probably one of the most important of its kind; it was a place where Muslims, and some Christians, were taught civics with a solid background in Arabic history and literature.

We thought that we would be the future leaders of Palestine. Our school was one of a kind, run by Arabs, and was viewed as a competitor to other schools managed by the foreign missionaries: for example, the Terra Sancta and Bishop Gobat schools.

One of his fondest childhood memories is of the Nabi Musa (Prophet Moses) festivities. Muslims from the farthest reaches of Palestine would conglomerate in the al-Aqsa Mosque to commence the celebrations; after the prayers, the crowds would proceed on a pilgrimage to the shrine where Moses was reputedly buried near Jericho. For Dakkak, as for many Palestinians, the march to the tomb of Moses had religious, political, and personal associations. The annual Nabi Musa pilgrimage would always fall on the week preceding Easter; thousands of Muslims from all over Palestine would converge on Jerusalem on Good Friday, which also coincided with the Jewish Passover holiday. This is the only Islamic holiday or festivity that follows the western (Gregorian) calendar and was part of the religious rites that every Muslim was expected to perform.

The pilgrimage dates back to the time of the liberation of Jerusalem by Salah al-Din, who, in a show of Muslim strength and good will, allowed the Crusaders and other western pilgrims to visit the Christian holy places at Easter time. It was revived in the nineteenth century by the Ottoman authorities who wanted to encourage a large Muslim pilgrimage to coincide with the Easter celebrations in Jerusalem that witnessed a flood of European pilgrims, mostly Russian Orthodox.

It was a political action that took the form of a religious rite: “This was an opportunity for us to express our ideas, and we felt no one could stop us, not the British, not the Jordanians.” Throngers of people would join in, marching and cheering together. Whatever regime was in place would feel threatened. Today, the Nabi Musa festivities are still a part of the collective memory of Jerusalemites – especially the old ones, for younger ones do not really remember how it felt.
Dakkak describes the joyous atmosphere – people singing and dancing in prayer, horse racing, and games continuing for days around the shrine. His memories of the celebrations by the shrine of Nabi Musa are vivid; his maternal uncles, the Husaynis, belonged to one of the two Jerusalem families who owned buildings at the shrine, and Dakkak and his cousins had the privilege of staying with them.

I remember the huge kitchen where we, the kids, would run to get some of the food the cooks were distributing for free to the pilgrims, or we’d just go to the kitchen to join in on the lines of dabka dancers.

After the festivities were over, the pilgrims, who included most of the Old City’s inhabitants, would return home.

Al-Haram al-Sharif was central to the lives of the Old City’s inhabitants and other Jerusalemites. But, as the third holiest site in Islam after Mecca and Medina, the al-Aqsa Mosque also attracted Muslim pilgrims from throughout the Arab and Muslim world. The Haram compound, with the al-Aqsa Mosque, was a focal point for all Muslims to come visit; as part of the hajj (the annual pilgrimage to Mecca by Muslims), pilgrims would pass through Jerusalem.

I remember seeing pilgrims from Damascus, Morocco, and Africans as well. Many would end up settling in Jerusalem, for example in Harat al-Maghariba [the Moroccan quarter], and to this day we have families named after them – Shami and Maghrabi.

Others would come just for the day: before 1967, Beirutis would fly into Jerusalem for prayers and then return to Lebanon the same night.

A life-long Palestinian activist, Dakkak was always motivated by the ever-volatile political situation to become involved in relieving the plight of his people. Since the 1960s, he has been active in numerous professional and national organizations, including the Arab Thought Forum.

In 1969, his attachment to al-Haram al-Sharif became a professional one after he was asked to head the newly formed Restoration Committee of al-Aqsa Mosque, which had been severely damaged in an arson attack by a Christian Zionist from Australia. “Until then, I’d viewed the Haram as being more part of my social life, but after the arson, in which the unique mihrab [pulpit] was destroyed, my relationship with the compound transformed into one of duty,” he recalled.

Ever since Israel’s annexation of the Old City in 1967, Palestinians and Muslims everywhere have felt a tangible Israeli threat against the Haram. “We were all afraid. The arson only confirmed this fear. When I took the job as the director in 1969, I felt my professional ambition blend with my desire to challenge the Israelis.”

Palestinians and Muslims knew that the Israelis wanted the sanctuary, but, regardless of what they said about wanting to rebuild the Temple, this was not Dakkak’s problem. “My problem was how was to keep the Israelis away from the entrance, and to carry on
with the renovations and excavations in order to strengthen the mosque’s foundations.”
There were so many issues to contend with, including local and international public opinion: “One cannot ignore that everyone has a stake in this place.”

Dakkak recalls how a rabbi arrived at the Haram with a group of people, praying for the rebuilding of the Temple. He also remembers a visit by Israel’s then minister of defense, Moshe Dayan. “He came a couple of times to observe the excavations we were conducting. He was an amateur archeologist, you know.” Once, Professor Benjamin Mazar, a renowned Israeli archeologist, came along with Dayan:

Mazar’s eyesight was rather feeble, and he had to walk up closely to any object he wanted to scrutinize. At one point, the two of them were standing near an excavation that was cordoned off. Professor Mazar looked down and saw a small wall that we had uncovered. From eight meters away he cried out: “Look here, these are the remains of the Second Temple!” Fortunately, his assistant (who incidentally had excellent eye-sight), standing next to him replied, “Sir, this is an Ummayyad wall, and not one from the Second Temple period.”

Once, Dakkak recalls, Teddy Kollek, Jerusalem’s mayor at the time and a frequent visitor to the Haram, was asked whether the Third Temple would be built: “His rather diplomatic answer was that it would definitely be rebuilt, but only when the Messiah comes! ‘So far,’ Kollek said, ‘the Messiah has not arrived – and we are still waiting.’”

In recent months, right-wing Israeli groups have petitioned the Israeli High Court to halt immediately any renovation work or excavations the Palestinians are conducting on al-Haram al-Sharif, claiming that these works are destroying artifacts from the Temple period. Dakkak feels this is a political ploy, since he says that it is a well-known fact that Israel has destroyed other archeological artifacts – and even contemporary constructs, including Palestinian homes and entire neighborhoods. “So one cannot say that the Israelis are driven by their care for artifacts,” he insists. “We know very well what they did to other quarters and places, whether in Jerusalem or other places in Palestine.”

On a political note, Dakkak feels that the visit by Ariel Sharon, a secular Jew, to al-Haram al-Sharif [in September 2000] was a clear attempt to win the support of religious Israelis and settlers. Dakkak goes on to explain that, within Israel, there is a rift between Jewish groups on the role of the Temple Mount (the Jewish name for al-Haram al-Sharif). Many Orthodox Jews are adamantly against the idea of “reclaiming the Temple Mount,” for they believe that it will only be rebuilt when the Messiah comes. However, other groups stray from this biblical interpretation in order to achieve political goals, such as Israeli sovereignty over as many areas as possible.

What should the response of Palestinians be? Ibrahim Dakkak affirms that the one thing they can do is to stubbornly continue to maintain and restore al-Haram al-Sharif. “Now is the time to do this, while at the same time, we must make the world aware of the very real danger – not only that is befalling a Muslim shrine, but that is threatening a jewel of our human heritage.”
Ibrahim Dakkak Award for Outstanding Essay on Jerusalem

The Ibrahim Dakkak Award for Outstanding Essay on Jerusalem will be awarded to an outstanding essay that addresses either contemporary or historical issues relating to Jerusalem. The winning submission will receive a prize of $1000 and will be published in Jerusalem Quarterly.

Essays submitted for consideration should be 4 to 5 thousand words long (including footnotes), should be based on original research, and must not have been previously published elsewhere. Preference will be given to young researchers and students.

Please submit essays and a short bio (including current or previous affiliation with a recognized university, research institution, or non-governmental organization that conducts research) via email to jq@palestine-studies.org. Any images should be submitted as separate files with resolution of 600 dpi if possible; submitted images must have copyright clearance from owners.

The deadline for submissions is 1 November 2016. A committee selected by Jerusalem Quarterly will determine the winning essay.